

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/513,043	02/25/2000	Philip Gilchrist	CE03599RP01	6989
22917 7	22917 7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD			HEINRICHS, CHRISTOPHER P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBUR	RG, IL 60196		2663	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  Office Action Summary  Application No.  Og/513,043  Examiner  Christopher P. Heinrichs  2663  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address −  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be limitly filled after Six (in Month's from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3t) days, a reply within the stetactor, minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered firmely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3t) days, a reply within the stetactor, minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered firmely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3t) days, a reply within the stetactor, minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered firmely.  If the period for reply will the set of catendary period for reply will be set of the communication.  If the period for reply will the set of catendary period for reply will be set adapted to the communication to become ABANDONED (3s) U.S. C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\frac{519/2005}{20}\$.  2a)  This action is FiNAL.  2b)  This action is FiNAL.  2b)  This action is finAL.  2b)  This action is period the provide and the provide any reduce any	<b>i</b>							
Examiner Christopher P. Heinrichs 2663  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Examinors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty of days, a reply within the some production of the specified to the scommunication.  - Failure to reply within the scient of the reply will be statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED. (30 U.S.C. § 133).  Are served than a subject to read the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of the mailing date of this communication.  - The MAILING DATE of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of the mailing date of this communication.  - The Mailing date of this communication of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any even if timely filed, may reduce any even if timely f			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the naximum statutory within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days, will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is pecified above, the naximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is pecified above, the naximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is pecified above, the naximum statutory will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135).  Any reply reclaimed by the Clince Started period from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19/2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			09/513,043	GILCHRIST ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply within the set of the set of extended period for reply within the set of extended period for reply within the set of		Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (si) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (si) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). A/n reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19/2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•		•	1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRP. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply sepedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for perly will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDAED (35 U.S. C.§ 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19/2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objec			ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19/2005.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	Status							
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/19/3</u>	<u>2005</u> .					
Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)  Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ② Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application.						
6)  Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	<i>,</i> —							
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>	8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
<ul> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>	Applicati	ion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	_							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
	Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/2005.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) . Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/513,043 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to the amendment of 5/19/2005. Accordingly, Claim 12 is currently pending in the application.

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "such as" in the final line of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Examiner understands that the packet data gateway is to be bypassed. It is recommended, for the same effect, that the applicant enter in the claim the limitation that the packet data gateway is an element external to the local network, and remove the limitation "such as the packet data gateway."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/513,043 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,442,633 to Perkins et al. in view of US Patent 5,719,860 to Maison et al.
- 4. With regard to claim 12. Perkins discloses a method for routing data in a local network (fig 2 items 14, 12, 16, 10 and 11), the method comprising the step of: receiving, at a base station from a packet data gateway (see col 7 line 26, and fig 2 items 18 and 20 make it apparent that the router 20 is integral to the gateway 18, and fig 2 item 12 is the base station), a context (col 8 lines 18-21, and fig 4, particularly item E, which is the "first NPDU" of col 9 line 25), wherein the context supplies the base station with routing information (LSR information is contained in NPDU, described in col 6 line 39 – col 7 line 28) and the context comprises mobility information (address of mobile host 10); receiving uplink information from a first remote unit; and utilizing the context to route the uplink information to a second remote unit (col 9 lines 19-29), wherein the routing of the information bypasses network elements external to the local network such as the packet data gateway (col 6 lines 43-46). Perkins fails to explicitly disclose that the context forwarded to the base station contain security information. However, Maison discloses forwarding a context including security information to a base station (BSS) (col 3 lines 49-53) from the MSC which serves as a data gateway between the base station and packet networks (fig 2 items 25<sub>1</sub> and 25<sub>3</sub>). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to include

Art Unit: 2663

general security information in the context forwarded to the base station to arrive at the method of claim 12. The motivation to do so would have been as set forth by Perkins in col 11 lines 15-19). The packet data gateway, as set forth in the above citations, forwards the necessary data to the appropriate base station so that two mobile hosts may communicate bypassing the packet data gateway. If security implications are taken into account, it would have been obvious to include security information in the context, as Maison does.

#### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. The applicants submit that Maison does not teach receiving the context from a packet data gateway and then using that context to route information in a manner that bypasses network elements external to the local network such as the packet data gateway itself. Examiner posits that it is not unreasonable to interpret the MSC disclosed by Maison as a packet data gateway, as it serves as a gateway between the BSS of fig 2 and packet networks PSPDN and ISDN of fig 2, as is made apparent by the drawing.
- 7. The applicants do not see how this passage in combination with figure 1 teaches or suggests receiving, at a base station from a packet data gateway a context...and utilizing the context to route the uplink information to a second remote unit, wherein the routing of the information bypasses network elements external to the local network such

Art Unit: 2663

as the packet data gateway," as recited by claim 12. This argument is addressed in the rejection of claim 12 and is rendered moot.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Heinrichs whose telephone number is 571-272-8397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/513,043

Art Unit: 2663

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C Heinrichs AU 2663

> FICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER